arXiv:1608.05814v1 [q-fin.MF] 20 Aug 2016

STOCHASTIC EVOLUTION EQUATIONS IN BANACH SPACES AND APPLICATIONS TO HEATH-JARROW-MORTON-MUSIELA EQUATION

ZDZISŁAW BRZEŹNIAK AND TAYFUN KOK

Abstract. In this paper we study the stochastic evolution equation (1.1) in martingale-type 2 Banach spaces (with the linear part of the drift being only a generator of a C_0-semigroup). We prove the existence and the uniqueness of solutions to this equation. We apply the abstract results to the Heath-Jarrow-Morton-Musiela (HJMM) equation (6.3). In particular, we prove the existence and the uniqueness of solutions to the latter equation in the weighted Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces L^p_ν and $W^{1,p}_\nu$ respectively. We also find a sufficient condition for the existence and the uniqueness of an invariant measure for the Markov semigroup associated to equation (6.3) in the weighted spaces L^p_ν.

1. Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$, where $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, be a filtered probability space, and $(H, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_H)$ be a separable Hilbert space. Assume that W is an H-valued, $\mathbb{F}$-cylindrical canonical Wiener process, i.e. W is a family $\{W(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ of bounded linear operators from H into $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that
(i) for all $t \geq 0$ and $h_1, h_2 \in H$, $\mathbb{E}W(t)h_1 W(t)h_2 = t\langle h_1, h_2\rangle_H$,
(ii) for any $h \in H$, $\{W(t)h\}_{t\geq 0}$ is real-valued, $\mathbb{F}$-adapted Wiener process.
The aim of this paper is twofold. The first one is to prove, under the local Lipschitz conditions on the coefficients, the existence and the uniqueness of solutions to the following stochastic evolution equation in a Banach space X satisfying the H_p condition, which is stated below.

$$\begin{cases} du(t) = (Au(t) + F(t, u(t)))dt + G(t, u(t))dW(t), \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where A is the generator of a contraction type, defined later, C_0 semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ on X, $u_0 : \Omega \to X$ is an $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable, square integrable random variable, and for each $t \geq 0$, $F(t,\cdot) : X \to X$ and $G(t,\cdot) : X \to \gamma(H, X)$. Here $\gamma(H, X)$ denotes the Banach space of γ-radonifying operators from H into X, see [23] for details. The norm in the space $\gamma(H, X)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\gamma(H,X)}$. We say that a Banach space X with the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ satisfies H_p condition, see [32] for details, if for some $p \geq 2$, the function $\psi : x \ni X \mapsto \psi(x) = \|x\|^p_X \in \mathbb{R}$ is of C^2 class on X (in the *Fréchet* derivative sense) and there exist constants $K_1(p), K_2(p) > 0$ depending on p such that for every $x \in X$, $\left|\psi'(x)\right| \leq K_1(p)\|x\|^{p-1}_X$ and $\left|\psi''(x)\right| \leq K_2(p)\|x\|^{p-2}_X$.

The second one is to apply the previous abstract results to the Heath-Jarrow-Morton-Musiela (HJMM) equation (6.3) introduced in section 6. The existence and the uniqueness of solutions to equation (6.3) in Hilbert spaces, and ergodic properties of the solutions have been studied, in particular, in [2], [12], [13], [14], [16], [18], [22], [25], [27], [28], [29], [31]. In this paper we prove the existence and the uniqueness of solutions to equation (6.3) in the weighted Banach spaces L^p_ν and $W^{1,p}_\nu$ defined in section 6. We also find a sufficient condition from Theorem 3.7 in [10] for the existence and the uniqueness of invariant measures for the solution to equation (6.3), when the coefficients are time independent, in the spaces L^p_ν. An important feature of our results is that we are able to consider HJMM Equations driven by a cylindrical Wiener process on a (possibly infinite dimensional) Hilbert spaces. For this purpose we use a characterization of γ-radonifying operators from a Hilbert space to an L^p space found recently by the first named author and Peszat in [4].

2. Mathematical preliminaries

We begin with introducing some notations.

Key words and phrases. (SEE)s and (HJMM) Equation and mild and strong solutions and the existence and the uniqueness of solutions and Markov semigroup and the existence and the uniqueness of an invariant measure.

Definition 2.1. *A Banach space X with the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ is called a martingale-type 2 Banach space if there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on X such that for any X-valued martingale $\{M_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, the following inequality holds*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}\|M_n\|_X^2 \le C \sum_n \mathbb{E}\|M_n - M_{n-1}\|_X^2.$$

The Lebesgue function spaces L^p, $p \ge 2$, are examples of martingale-type 2 Banach spaces.

Proposition 2.2. [32] *If X is a Banach space satisfying the H_p condition, then X is an martingale-type 2 Banach space.*

Definition 2.3. *Let X be a Banach space and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators from X to X. A C_0-semigroup $S = \{S(t)\}_{t\ge 0}$ on X is called contraction type iff there exists a constant $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \le e^{\beta t}, \quad t \ge 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.4. *Let X be a martingale-type 2 Banach space and S be a contraction type C_0-semigroup on X with the infinitesimal generator A. A process u is called an X-valued mild solution to SEE (1.1) if for each $t \ge 0$,*

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-r)F(r,u(r))dr + \int_0^t S(t-r)G(r,u(r))dW(r), \quad \mathbb{P}-a.s. \tag{2.2}$$

where u is such that each term on the right hand side is well-defined.

The integrals in equation (2.2) are not always well-defined for any process u, and functions F and G. Therefore, we also introduce some notations which make the integrals in equation (2.2) well-defined in martingale type 2 Banach spaces. Let X be a martingale type 2 Banach space endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ and S be a contraction type C_0-semigroup on X. It follows from [6] that if ξ is a $\gamma(H,X)$-valued, $\mathbb{F}$-progressively measurable process such that for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \|\xi(s)\|_{\gamma(H,X)}^2 ds < \infty,$$

then for each $t \ge 0$, the stochastic integral $\int_0^t \xi(s)dW(s)$ is well-defined. Moreover, the stochastic integral process $\int_0^t \xi(s)dW(s)$, $t \ge 0$, is an X-valued, $\mathbb{F}$-progressively measurable process, and there exists a constant $C > 0$ (independent of ξ) such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t \xi(s)dW(s)\right\|_X^2 \le C\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|\xi(s)\|_{\gamma(H,X)}^2 ds, \quad t \ge 0. \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, for each $t \ge 0$, the stochastic convolution integral $\int_0^t S(t-r)\xi(r)dW(r)$ is well-defined provided that ξ is a $\gamma(H,X)$-valued, $\mathbb{F}$-progressively measurable process such that for each $t \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \|\xi(r)\|_{\gamma(H,X)}^2 dr < \infty.$$

Moreover, the stochastic convolution process $\int_0^t S(t-r)\xi(r)dW(r)$, $t \ge 0$, is an X-valued, $\mathbb{F}$ progressively measurable process. If for each $t \ge 0$, the function $G(t,\cdot) : X \to \gamma(H,X)$ is bounded, and for each $T > 0$ and $x \in X$, the function $G(\cdot,x) : [0,T] \to \gamma(H,X)$ is Borel measurable, then the process $G(t,u(t))$, $t \ge 0$, is $\gamma(H,X)$-valued, $\mathbb{F}$-progressively measurable such that for each $t \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \|G(r,u(r))\|_{\gamma(H,X)}^2 dr < \infty$$

provided that u is an X-valued, $\mathbb{F}$-progressively measurable process such that for each $t \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \|u(r)\|_X^2 dr < \infty.$$

Hence, for each $t \ge 0$, the stochastic convolution integral $\int_0^t S(t-r)G(r,u(r))dW(r)$ is well-defined, and the stochastic convolution process $\int_0^t S(t-r)G(r,u(r))dW(r)$, $t \ge 0$, is an X-valued, $\mathbb{F}$ progressively measurable process.

It follows from [1] that if u is an X-valued, $\mathbb{F}$-progressively measurable process such that for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^t \|u(r)\|_X \, dr < \infty, \quad \mathbb{P}-a.s.$$

then for each $t \geq 0$, the deterministic convolution integral $\int_0^t S(t-r)u(r)dr$ is well defined $\mathbb{P}$-a.s, and the deterministic convolution process $\int_0^t S(t-r)u(r)dr$, $t \geq 0$, is X-valued, $\mathbb{F}$-progressively measurable. If for each $t \geq 0$, the function $F(t,\cdot) : X \to X$ is bounded, and for each $T > 0$ and $x \in X$, the function $F(\cdot, x) : [0,T] \to X$ is Borel measurable, then the process $F(t, u(t))$, $t \geq 0$, is an X-valued $\mathbb{F}$-progressively measurable process such that for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^t \|F(r, u(r))\|_X \, dr < \infty \quad \mathbb{P}-a.s.$$

Thus, for each $t \geq 0$, the deterministic convolution integral $\int_0^t S(t-r)F(r,u(r))dr$ is well-defined, and the deterministic convolution process $\int_0^t S(t-r)F(r,u(r))dr$, $t \geq 0$, is X-valued $\mathbb{F}$-progressively measurable.

It follows from [5] that if X is a Banach space satisfying the H_p condition with the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, $\{\tilde{S}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a contraction C_0-semigroup on X and ξ is a $\gamma(H,X)$-valued, $\mathbb{F}$-progressively measurable process such that for some $T > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|\xi(r)\|_X^2 dr < \infty,$$

then there exists a constant $K > 0$ depending on H, X and $K_1(p), K_2(p)$ appearing in the H_p condition such that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\int_0^t \tilde{S}(t-r)\xi(r)dW(r)\right\|_X^2 \leq K\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|\xi(t)\|_{\gamma(H,X)}^2 dt.$$

Note that A semigroup $\tilde{S}$ defined by $\tilde{S}(t) = e^{-\beta t}S(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a contraction C_0-semigroup on X. Therefore, we can prove that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)\xi(r)dW(r)\right\|_X^2 \leq Ke^{2\beta T}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|\xi(t)\|_{\gamma(H,X)}^2 dt. \tag{2.4}$$

3. The existence and the uniqueness of solutions to see (1.1) in Banach spaces with globally Lipschitz coefficients

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a Banach space satisfying the H_p condition endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$. Assume that $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a contraction type C_0-semigroup on X with the infinitesimal generator A. Assume that for each $t \geq 0$, $F(t,\cdot) : X \to X$ and $G(t,\cdot) : X \to \gamma(H,X)$ are globally lipschitz maps on X, i.e. for each $T > 0$ there exist constants $L_F > 0$ and $L_G > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0,T]$,*

$$\|F(t,x_1) - F(t,x_2)\|_X \leq L_F\|x_1 - x_2\|_X, \quad x_1, x_2 \in X, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\|G(t,x_1) - G(t,x_2)\|_{\gamma(H,X)} \leq L_G\|x_1 - x_2\|_X, \quad x_1, x_2 \in X. \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, we assume that for every $x \in X$ the functions $F(\cdot,x) : [0,\infty) \ni t \mapsto F(t,x) \in X$ and $G(\cdot,x) : [0,\infty) \ni t \mapsto G(t,x) \in \gamma(H,X)$ are Borel measurable, and for all $T > 0$ and $x \in X$,

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left(\|F(t,x)\|_X + \|G(t,x)\|_{\gamma(H,X)}\right) < \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Then for each $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P}; X)$, there exists a unique X-valued mild solution to SEE (1.1).

Proof. **Existence :** The proof for the case $\beta < 0$ is included in the proof for the case $\beta \geq 0$, since for $\beta < 0$, $e^{\beta t} \leq 1$. Therefore, we assume that $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a contraction type C_0-semigroup on X, i.e. there exists a constant $\beta \geq 0$ such that

$$\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq e^{\beta t}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

By the definition of the mild solution, it is sufficient to show that equation (2.2) has a unique solution.

For each $T > 0$, let Z_T be the space of all X-valued, $\mathbb{F}$-progressively measurable processes u on $[0,T]$ such that the trajectories of u are $\mathbb{P}$-a.s continuous and

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u(t)\|_X^2 < \infty.$$

Obviously Z_T is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_T = \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u(t)\|_X^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Fix $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P}; X)$ and $T > 0$. Define a map Φ_{T,u_0} by

$$[\Phi_{T,u_0}](u)(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-r)F(r,u(r))dr + \int_0^t S(t-r)G(r,u(r))dW(r), \quad t \in [0,T], \quad u \in Z_T.$$

It follows from [1] and [6] that the deterministic convolution process $\int_0^t S(t-r)F(r,u(r))dr$, $t \geq 0$, and the stochastic convolution process $\int_0^t S(t-r)G(r,u(r))dW(r)$, $t \geq 0$, are well-defined and belong to Z_T. Moreover, the process $S(\cdot)u_0$ belongs to Z_T since $\mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_X^2 < \infty$. Thus, Φ_{T,u_0} is a well-defined map from Z_T into Z_T. Furthermore, we shall prove that the map Φ_{T,u_0} is globally Lipschitz on Z_T. For this aim let us fix $u_1, u_2 \in Z_T$. Then, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (3.1) and (3.4) we have, for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)[F(r,u_1(r)) - F(r,u_2(r))]dr\right\|_X &\leq \int_0^t \left\|S(t-r)[F(r,u_1(r)) - F(r,u_2(r))]\right\|_X dr \\
&\leq \sqrt{t}\left(\int_0^t e^{2\beta(t-r)}\|F(r,u_1(r)) - F(r,u_2(r))\|_X^2 dr\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq L_F\sqrt{t}e^{\beta t}\left(\int_0^t \|u_1(r) - u_2(r)\|_X^2 dr\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we infer that

$$\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)[F(r,u_1(r)) - F(r,u_2(r))]dr\right\|_X^2 \leq L_F^2 t e^{2\beta t}\int_0^t \|u_1(r) - u_2(r)\|_X^2 dr, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.5}$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)[F(r,u_1(r)) - F(r,u_2(r))]dr\right\|_X^2 \leq L_F^2 T^2 e^{2\beta T}\|u_1 - u_2\|_T^2. \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, using (2.4) and (3.2) we have

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)[G(r,u_1(r)) - G(r,u_2(r))]dW(r)\right\|_X^2 \leq KTL_G^2 e^{2\beta T}\|u_1 - u_2\|_T^2. \tag{3.7}$$

Taking into account inequalities (3.6) and (3.7) we infer that

$$\|\Phi_{T,u_0}(u_1) - \Phi_{T,u_0}(u_2)\|_T \leq C(T)\|u_1 - u_2\|_T, \tag{3.8}$$

where $C(T) = e^{\beta T}(2L_F^2T^2 + 2KTL_G^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus, Φ_{T,u_0} is globally Lipschitz on Z_T. If we choose T small enough, say T_0, such that $C(T_0) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then by the Banach Fixed Point Theorem, there exists a unique process $u^1 \in Z_{T_0}$ such that $\Phi_{T,u_0}(u^1) = u^1$. Therefore, equation (2.2) has a unique solution u^1 on $[0,T_0]$.

Let $Z_{(k-1)T_0,kT_0}$, $k = 1,2,3...$, be the space of all X-valued, $\mathbb{F}$-progressively measurable stochastic processes u on $[(k-1)T_0, kT_0]$ such that trajectories of u are $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. continuous and

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[(k-1)T_0,kT_0]}\|u(t)\|_X^2 < \infty.$$

For each k, $Z_{(k-1)T_0,kT_0}$ is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{(k-1)T_0,kT_0} = \left(\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[(k-1)T_0,kT_0]}\|u(t)\|_X^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

As shown above, it can be easily shown that the following equation

$$u(t) = S(t-(k-1)T_0)u((k-1)T_0) + \int_{(k-1)T_0}^{t} S(t-r)F(r,u(r))dr + \int_{(k-1)T_0}^{t} S(t-r)G(r,u(r))dW(r) \quad (3.9)$$

has a unique solution u^k in the space $Z_{(k-1)T_0,kT_0}$ such that $u^k(kT_0) = u^{k+1}(kT_0)$. Consequently we have a sequence $(u^k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of solutions.

Define a process u by

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u^k(t)1_{[(k-1)T_0,kT_0]}(t), \quad t \in [0,\infty). \quad (3.10)$$

We claim that this process u is a unique solution to equation (2.2).

Proof. We have already proved that for $k = 1$, the process u on $[0, T_0]$ solves equation (2.2). By induction, we assume that the process u on $[0, kT_0]$ solves equation (2.2) and we will show that the process u on $[0, (k+1)T_0]$ solves equation (2.2). We know that u^{k+1} on $[kT_0, (k+1)T_0]$ solves equation (3.9) and $u^k(kT_0) = u^{k+1}(kT_0)$. Thus, for each $t \in [kT_0, (k+1)T_0]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) = u^{k+1}(t) &= S(t-kT_0)\left(S(kT_0)u_0 + \int_0^{kT_0} S(kT_0-r)F\left(r,u^k(r)\right)dr + \int_0^{kT_0} S(kT_0-r)G\left(r,u^k(r)\right)dW(r)\right)\\
&\quad + \int_{kT_0}^{t} S(t-r)F\left(t,u^{k+1}(r)\right)dr + \int_{kT_0}^{t} S(t-r)G\left(t,u^{k+1}(r)\right)dW(r)\\
&= S(t)u_0 + \int_0^{kT_0} S(t-r)F\left(r,u^k(r)\right)dr + \int_0^{kT_0} S(t-r)G\left(r,u^k(r)\right)dW(r)\\
&\quad + \int_{kT_0}^{t} S(t-r)F\left(t,u^{k+1}(r)\right)dr + \int_{kT_0}^{t} S(t-r)G\left(t,u^{k+1}(r)\right)dW(r)\\
&= S(t)u_0 + \int_0^{t} S(t-r)F\left(r,u(r)\right)dr + \int_0^{t} S(t-r)G\left(r,u(r)\right)dW(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, u defined in (3.10) is a solution to equation (2.2).

Uniqueness : In principle, the uniqueness of solutions follows from our proof via the Banach Fixed Point Theorem. However, for completeness and educational purposes, we will present now our independent proof.

Let u_1 and u_2 be two solutions to equation (2.2). Define a process z by

$$z(t) = u_1(t) - u_2(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then for each $t \in [0,\infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\|z(t)\|_X^2 &= \mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)[F(t,u_1(r)) - F(t,u_2(r))]dr + \int_0^t S(t-r)[G(t,u_1(r)) - G(t,u_2(r))]dW(r)\right\|_X^2\\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)[F(t,u_1(r)) - F(t,u_2(r))]dr\right\|_X^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)[G(t,u_1(r)) - G(t,u_2(r))]dW(r)\right\|_X^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.3), (3.2) and (3.4), we have, for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)[G(t,u_1(r)) - G(t,u_2(r))]dW(r)\right\|_X^2 &\leq C\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \|S(t-r)[G(t,u_1(r)) - G(t,u_2(r))]\|_{\gamma(H,X)}^2 dr\\
&\leq C\mathbb{E}\int_0^t e^{2\beta(t-r)}\|G(t,u_1(r)) - G(t,u_2(r))\|_X^2 dr\\
&\leq CL_G^2 e^{2\beta t}\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|u_1(r) - u_2(r)\|_X^2 dr.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

It follows from inequalities (3.5) and (3.11) that

$$\mathbb{E}\|z(t)\|_X^2 \le K(t)\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|z(r)\|_X^2 dr, \quad t \ge 0,$$

where $K(t) = 2e^{2\beta t}(tL_F^2 + CL_G^2)$. Applying Gronwall's inequality, see [30], to function $\mathbb{E}\|z(t)\|_X^2$, $t \ge 0$, we obtain that for all $t \ge 0$, $\mathbb{E}\|z(t)\|_X^2 = 0$, which completes the proof of the claim. □

Therefore, u defined in (3.10) is a unique mild solution to SEE (1.1). Hence the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete. □

4. The existence and the uniqueness of solutions to see (1.1) in Banach spaces with locally Lipschitz coefficients

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a Banach space satisfying the H_p condition endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$. Assume that $\{S(t)\}_{t\ge 0}$ is a contraction type C_0-semigroup on X with the infinitesimal generator A. Assume that for each $t \ge 0$, $F(t,\cdot) : X \to X$ and $G(t,\cdot) : X \to \gamma(H,X)$ are locally Lipschitz maps, i.e. for all $T > 0$ and $R > 0$ there exist constants $L_F(R) > 0$ and $L_G(R) > 0$ such that if $t \in [0,T]$, $\|x_1\|_X, \|x_2\|_X \le R$, then*

$$\|F(t,x_1) - F(t,x_2)\|_X \le L_F(R)\|x_1 - x_2\|_X, \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\|G(t,x_1) - G(t,x_2)\|_{\gamma(H,X)} \le L_G(R)\|x_1 - x_2\|_X. \tag{4.2}$$

Moreover, we assume that for each $x \in X$, the functions $F(\cdot,x) : [0,\infty) \ni t \to F(t,x) \in X$ and $G(\cdot,x) : [0,\infty) \ni t \to G(t,x) \in \gamma(H,X)$ are Borel measurable, and for each $t \ge 0$, $F(t,\cdot)$ and $G(t,\cdot)$ are of linear growth (uniformly in t), that is, for all $T > 0$, there exist constants $\bar{L}_F > 0$ and $\bar{L}_G > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\|F(t,x)\|_X^2 \le \bar{L}_F^2\left(1 + \|x\|_X^2\right), \quad x \in X, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\|G(t,x)\|_{\gamma(H,X)}^2 \le \bar{L}_G^2\left(1 + \|x\|_X^2\right), \quad x \in X. \tag{4.4}$$

Then for each $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_0,\mathbb{P};X)$, there exists a unique X-valued mild solution u to SEE (1.1).

Proof. We assume $\beta \ge 0$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \ge 0$, define mappings $F^n(t,\cdot) : X \to X$ and $G^n(t,\cdot) : X \to \gamma(H,X)$ by respectively,

$$F^n(t,x) = \begin{cases} F(t,x), & \|x\|_X \le n, \\ F\left(t, n\frac{x}{\|x\|_X}\right), & \|x\|_X > n \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$G^n(t,x) = \begin{cases} G(t,x), & \|x\|_X \le n, \\ G\left(t, n\frac{x}{\|x\|_X}\right), & \|x\|_X > n. \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \ge 0$, $F^n(t,\cdot)$ and $G^n(t,\cdot)$ are globally Lipschitz on X with constants $3L_F(n)$ and $3L_G(n)$ (independent of t), see [8]. Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \ge 0$, $F^n(t,\cdot)$ and $G^n(t,\cdot)$ satisfy the linear growth conditions (4.3) and (4.4) with the same constants $\bar{L}_F$ and $\bar{L}_G$. We will prove linear growth of $F^n(t,\cdot)$.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \ge 0$. Then

Case 1 : Consider $x \in X$ such that $\|x\|_X \le n$. Then, $F^n(t,x) = F(t,x)$. Therefore, from (4.3) we get

$$\|F^n(t,x)\|_X^2 = \|F(t,x)\|_X^2 \le \bar{L}_F^2\left(1 + \|x\|_X^2\right).$$

Case 2 : Consider $x \in X$ such that $\|x\|_X > n$. Then, $F^n(t,x) = F\left(t, n\frac{x}{\|x\|_X}\right)$. Therefore, from (4.3) we get

$$\|F^n(t,x)\|_X^2 \le \bar{L}_F^2\left(1 + n^2\left\|\frac{x}{\|x\|_X}\right\|_X^2\right) \le \bar{L}_F^2\left(1 + \|x\|_X^2\right).$$

Hence, we infer that

$$\|F^n(t,x)\|_X^2 \le \bar{L}_F^2\left(1 + \|x\|_X^2\right), \quad x \in X. \tag{4.7}$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\|G^n(t,x)\|^2_{\gamma(H,X)} \leq \bar{L}_G^2\left(1+\|x\|_X^2\right), \quad x \in X. \tag{4.8}$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the following stochastic evolution equation

$$\begin{cases} du^n(t) = [Au^n(t) + F^n(t,u^n(t))]dt + G^n(t,u^n(t))dW(t), \quad t \geq 0, \\ u^n(0) = u_0. \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

By Theorem 3.1, SEE (4.9) has a unique X-valued mild solution u^n. Moreover, we shall prove that for each $T > 0$, there exists a constant $C(T) > 0$ (independent of n) such that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u^n(t)\|_X^2 \leq C(T), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.10}$$

Proof of (4.10): Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T > 0$. Let u^n be the unique solution of equation (4.9). Then

$$u^n(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-r)F^n(r,u^n(r))dr + \int_0^t S(t-r)G^n(r,u^n(r))dW(r), \quad t \in [0,\infty).$$

Thus, we have, for each $s \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,s]}\left\|u^n(t)\right\|_X^2 \leq\ & 3\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,s]}\|S(t)u_0\|_X^2 + 3\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,s]}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)F^n(r,u^n(r))dr\right\|_X^2 \\ & + 3\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,s]}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)G^n(r,u^n(r))dW(r)\right\|_X^2.\end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (3.4) and (4.7), we obtain, for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)F^n(r,u^n(r))\,dr\right\|_X &\leq \int_0^t \left\|S(t-r)F^n(r,u^n(r))\right\|_X dr \\ &\leq \bar{L}_F\sqrt{t}e^{\beta t}\left(\int_0^t\left(1+\left\|u^n(r)\right\|_X^2\right)dr\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq t\bar{L}_F e^{\beta t} + \bar{L}_F\sqrt{t}e^{\beta t}\left(\int_0^t\left\|u^n(r)\right\|_X^2 dr\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq t\bar{L}_F e^{\beta t} + \bar{L}_F\sqrt{t}e^{\beta t}\left(\int_0^t\sup_{t\in[0,r]}\left\|u^n(t))\right\|_X^2 dr\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,s]}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)F^n(r,u^n(r))\,dr\right\|_X^2 \leq 2s^2\bar{L}_F^2e^{2\beta s} + 2s\bar{L}_F^2e^{2\beta s}\int_0^s\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,r]}\left\|u^n(t))\right\|_X^2 dr. \tag{4.11}$$

By (2.4), (3.4) and (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,s]}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-r)G^n(r,u^n(r))\,dW(r)\right\|_X^2 &\leq Ke^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}\int_0^s\left\|G^n(r,u^n(r))\right\|_{\gamma(H,X)}^2 dr \\ &\leq K\bar{L}_G^2e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}\int_0^s\left(1+\left\|u^n(r)\right\|_X^2\right)dr \\ &\leq sK\bar{L}_G^2e^{2\beta s} + K\bar{L}_G^2e^{2\beta s}\int_0^s\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,r]}\left\|u^n(t))\right\|_X^2 dr.\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

It follows from inequalities (4.11) and (4.12) that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,s]}\left\|u^n(t)\right\|_X^2 \leq M(s) + L(s)\int_0^s\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,r]}\left\|u^n(t)\right\|_X^2 dr, \quad s \in [0,T],$$

where $M(s) = 3e^{2\beta s}\left(\mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_X^2 + 2s^2\bar{L}_F^2 + sK\bar{L}_G^2\right)$ and $L(s) = 3e^{2\beta s}\left(2\bar{L}_F^2 s + K\bar{L}_G^2\right)$. Applying Gronwall's inequality we infer that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,s]}\left\|u^n(t)\right\|_X^2 \leq M(s)e^{L(s)s}, \quad s \in [0,T].$$

This gives the desired conclusion.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a map $\tau_n : \Omega \to [0, \infty]$ by

$$\tau_n(\omega) = \inf \{t \in [0, \infty) \ : \ \|u^n(t, \omega)\|_X \geq n\}, \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, τ_n is a stopping time, see [20] for the proof. Moreover, we shall prove that the sequence $(\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to ∞. For this aim it is sufficient to show that for each $T > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \exists\, k \in \mathbb{N} : \forall\, n \geq k\ \tau_n(\omega) \geq T\}\right) = 1. \tag{4.13}$$

Fix $T > 0$. Then using inequality (4.10) and the Chebyshev Inequality we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u^n(t)\|_X \geq n\right\}\right) \leq C(T)\frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Set

$$A_n = \left\{\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u^n(t)\|_X \geq n\right\}.$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty$. Therefore, by the Borel Cantelli Lemma, $\mathbb{P}\left(\cap_{k=1}^{\infty} \cup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n\right) = 0$. Thus $\mathbb{P}\left(\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \cap_{n=k}^{\infty} (\Omega \setminus A_n)\right) = 1$. Take $\omega \in \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \cap_{n=k}^{\infty} (\Omega \setminus A_n)$. Then $\exists\, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\omega \in \cap_{n=k}^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus A_n)$, i.e. $\forall\, n \geq k$, $\omega \in \Omega \setminus A_n$. Therefore, $\forall\, n \geq k$, $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u^n(t, \omega)\|_X < n$. Thus, $\exists\, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall\, n \geq k$, $\|u^n(t, \omega)\|_X < n, t \in [0, T]$. Therefore, $\exists\, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall\, n \geq k$, $\tau_n(\omega) > T$. This gives the desired conclusion.

Define a process u by

$$u(t) = u^n(t), \quad if\ t \leq \tau_n. \tag{4.14}$$

Note that by [9], u^n solves the following equation

$$u(t \wedge \tau_n) = u^n(t \wedge \tau_n) = S(t \wedge \tau_n)u_0 + \int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n} S(t \wedge \tau_n - r)F^n(r, u^n(r))\, dr + \int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n} S(t \wedge \tau_n - r)G^n(r, u^n(r))\, dW(r), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Since $r \leq \tau_n$ and by the definition of τ_n, $\left\|u^n(r)\right\|_X \leq n$. Therefore, from the definition of $F^n(t, \cdot)$ and $G^n(t, \cdot)$ we get

$$F^n(r, u^n(r)) = F(r, u^n(r)) \text{ and } G^n(r, u^n(r)) = G(r, u^n(r)).$$

Also by the definition of u, $u(r) = u^n(r)$ if $r \leq \tau_n$. Hence, we obtain

$$u(t \wedge \tau_n) = S(t \wedge \tau_n)u_0 + \int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n} S(t \wedge \tau_n - r)F(r, u(r))dr + \int_0^{t\wedge\tau_n} S(t \wedge \tau_n - r)G(r, u(r))dW(r).$$

Since $\tau_n \to \infty$, $t \wedge \tau_n \to t$. Thus, $u(t \wedge \tau_n) \to u(t)$ and $S(t \wedge \tau_n) \to S(t)$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, we infer that

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t - r)F(r, u(r))dr + \int_0^t S(t - r)G(r, u(r))dW(r).$$

Thus u defined in (4.14) is a mild solution to SEE (1.1). The uniqueness of u follows from Theorem 3.1. □

5. Markov property and ergodic properties of the solutions to see (1.1)

For $x \in X$ and $0 \leq s < \infty$, we denote by $u(t, s; x)$, $t \geq s$ the unique solution of SEE (1.1) on the time interval $[s, \infty)$ with the initial date $u(s) = x$. Let $C_b(X)$ be the set of all bounded measurable functions φ from X to $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 5.1. *A family of maps $P_{s,t} : C_b(X) \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq s \geq 0$, defined by*

$$P_{s,t}(\varphi)(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(u(t, s; x))], \quad x \in X, \quad \varphi \in C_b(X)$$

is called the transition semigroup corresponding to SEE (1.1).

Definition 5.2. *An X-valued process u is called Markov if for each $\varphi \in C_b(X)$ and $0 \le s \le t$,*

$$\mathbb{E}(\varphi(u(t))|\mathcal{F}_s) = P_{t,s}\varphi(u(s)) \quad \mathbb{P}-a.s.$$

Similar to Theorem 9.8 in [26], we have the following result, see [21] for the proof.

Theorem 5.3. *Assume that all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 or Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. Let u be the unique solution of SEE (1.1). Then for arbitrary $\varphi \in C_b(X)$, $r \ge 0$ and $u_r \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_r, \mathbb{P}; X)$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(u(t,r;u_r))|\mathcal{F}_s\right] = P_{s,t}\varphi(u(s,r;u_r)), \quad \mathbb{P}-a.s. \text{ for all } t \ge s \ge r.$$

Corollary 5.4. *Under the all assumptions of Theorem 3.1 or Theorem 4.1, the solution of SEE (1.1) is a Markov process.*

5.1. **Existence and uniqueness of an invariant measure to SEE (1.1).** In this section we consider the following SEE

$$\begin{cases} du = (Au + F(u))dt + G(u)dW(t), & t \ge 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where F and G are time independent. It follows from Theorem 3.1 or Theorem 4.1 that if the functions $F : X \to X$ and $G : X \to \gamma(H, X)$ satisfy all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 or Theorem 4.1, then SEE (5.1) has a unique X-valued mild solution.

Definition 5.5. *Let P_t, $t \ge 0$, be the translation semigroup corresponding to SEE (5.1). A Borel probability measure μ on X is called an invariant measure for SEE (5.1) iff*

$$P_t^*\mu = \mu, \quad t \ge 0,$$

where P_t^* is the dual semigroup defined on the space $M(X)$ of all bounded measures on $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$, and is defined by

$$\langle \varphi, P_t^*\mu \rangle = \langle P_t\varphi, \mu \rangle, \quad \varphi \in C_b(X), \quad \mu \in M(X).$$

The following theorem obtained in [10] gives a sufficient condition for the existence and the uniqueness of invariant measures for the solution to problem (5.1), see Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 4.6 therein for the proof.

Theorem 5.6. *Assume that the functions $F : X \to X$ and $G : X \to \gamma(H, X)$, satisfy all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 or Theorem 4.1. If there exist a constant $\omega > 0$ and a natural number $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $n \ge n_0$,*

$$[A_n(x_1 - x_2) + F(x_1) - F(x_2), x_1 - x_2] + \frac{K_2(p)}{p}\|G(x_1) - G(x_2)\|^2_{\gamma(H,X)} \le -\omega\|x_1 - x_2\|^2_{\nu,p}, \tag{5.2}$$

where A_n is the Yosida approximation of A, and $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is the semi-inner product on $X \times X$, see Definition 5.7 below, then there exists a unique invariant measure for the solution to SEE (5.1).

Definition 5.7. *A semi-inner product on a complex or real vector space V is a mapping $[\cdot,\cdot] : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ (or $\mathbb{R}$) such that*
(i) $[x + y, z] = [x, z] + [y, z], \quad x, y, z \in V,$
(ii) $[\lambda x, y] = \lambda[x, y], \quad x, y \in V, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ (*or* $\mathbb{R}$),
(iii) $[x,x] > 0, \quad$ *for* $x \ne 0,$
(iv) $|[x, y]|^2 \le [x, x]\,[y, y], \quad x, y \in V.$

Such a vector space V is called a semi-inner product space.

Remark 5.8. A semi-inner product on a Banach space X is given by

$$[x, y] = \langle x, y^* \rangle, \quad x, y \in X,$$

where $y^* \in X^*$ such that $\|y^*\| = \|y\|_X$ and $\langle y, y^* \rangle = \|y\|_X$. Such a $y^* \in X^*$ exists by the Hahn-Banach theorem.

6. Application to Heath-Jarrow-Morton-Musiela (HJMM) equation

6.1. **The HJMM equation.** The value of one dollar at time $t \in [0,T]$ with maturity $T \geq 0$ is called the zero-coupon bond, and is denoted by $P(t,T)$. This is a contract that guarantees the holder one dollar to be paid at the maturity date T. It is assumed that for each $T \geq 0$ and $t \in [0,T]$, $P(t,T)$ denotes an $\mathbb{R}$-valued random variable defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Therefore, for each $T > 0$, $\{P(t,T)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ denotes an $\mathbb{R}$-valued stochastic process. Under some assumptions, $P(t,T)$ can be written as follows, see [15],

$$P(t,T) = e^{-\int_t^T f(t,s)ds}.$$

where for each $0 \leq t \leq T$, $f(t,T)$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-valued random variable on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and called forward rate. Therefore, for each $T \geq 0$, the family $\{f(t,T)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ of forward rates is a stochastic process, and called forward rate process. In the framework of Heath-Jarrow-Morton [19], it was assumed that for each $T \geq 0$, forward rate process $f(t,T)$, $t \in [0,T]$, satisfies the following stochastic differential equation,

$$df(t,T) = \left\langle \sigma(t,T), \int_t^T \sigma(t,v)dv \right\rangle dt + \langle \sigma(t,T), dW(t) \rangle, \quad t \in [0,T], \tag{6.1}$$

where W is a standard d-dimensional Brownian motion and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Using the Musiela parametrization [22] an important connection between HJM model and stochastic partial differential equations can be provided. Define

$$r(t)(x) = f(t, t+x), \quad T = t + x \quad x, t \geq 0,$$

where x is called time to maturity, and for each $t \geq 0$, the function $r(t)$ is a random variable on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ taking values in the space of functions of x, and called forward curve. Therefore, the family $\{r(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ of forward curves is a stochastic process taking values in the space of functions of x, and called forward curve process. By the framework of Heath-Jarrow-Morton [19], the forward curve process satisfies the following stochastic partial differential equation,

$$dr(t)(x) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} r(t)(x) + \left\langle \alpha(t)(x), \int_0^x \alpha(t)(y)dy \right\rangle_H \right) dt + \langle \alpha(t)(x), dW(t) \rangle_H, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{6.2}$$

where $\alpha(t)(x) = \sigma(t, t+x)$, $t, x \geq 0$, $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H)$ is a (possibly infinite dimensional) Hilbert space and W is an $\mathbb{F}$-cylindrical canonical Wiener process on H, see [21] for the derivation of equation (6.2).

If the volatility α depends on the forward curve r, i.e. $\alpha(t)(x) = \zeta(t, r(t))(x)$, then equation (6.2) becomes

$$dr(t)(x) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} r(t)(x) + \left\langle \zeta(t, r(t))(x), \int_0^x \zeta(t, r(t))(y)\, dy \right\rangle_H \right) dt + \langle \zeta(t, r(t))(x), dW(t) \rangle_H. \tag{6.3}$$

In this paper we will analyse, for a certain function ζ, the existence and the uniqueness of solutions to equation (6.3) in some certain spaces of functions, and the existence and the uniqueness of invariant measures for the solution to equation (6.3).

6.2. **Existence and uniqueness of solutions to HJMM equation with globally Lipschitz coefficients.** For each $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \geq 1$, let L^p_ν be the space of all (equivalence classes of) Lebesgue measurable functions $f : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p e^{\nu x} dx < \infty.$$

It is well know that for each $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \geq 1$, L^p_ν is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\nu,p} = \left(\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p e^{\nu x} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

If $p \geq 2$, then L^p_ν satisfies the H_p condition [5]. Moreover, see [7], if $\psi : L^p_\nu \ni f \mapsto \psi(f) = \|f\|^p_{\nu,p} \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$|\psi'(f)| \leq p\|f\|^{p-1}_{\nu,p} \text{ and } |\psi''(f)| \leq p(p-1)\|f\|^{p-2}_{\nu,p}, \quad f \in L^p_\nu. \tag{6.4}$$

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\nu > 0$ and $p \geq 1$. Then the space L^p_ν is continuously embedded into the space $L^1([0,\infty))$, and for all $f \in L^p_\nu$,*

$$\|f\|_{L^1} \leq \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{\nu,p},$$

where $q \in [1,\infty)$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proof. Fix $\nu > 0$, $p \geq 1$ and $f \in L^p_\nu$. Then by Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^1} &= \int_0^\infty |f(x)|dx = \int_0^\infty |f(x)|e^{\frac{\nu x}{p}} e^{-\frac{\nu x}{p}} dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p e^{\nu x} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\nu q x}{p}} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{\nu,p}.\end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired conclusion. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let $S = \{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a family of operators on L^p_ν defined by*

$$S(t)f(x) = f(t+x), \quad f \in L^p_\nu, \quad t, x \in [0,\infty). \tag{6.5}$$

Then S is a contraction type C_0-semigroup on L^p_ν such that

$$\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p_\nu)} \leq e^{\frac{-\nu t}{p}}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Moreover, the infinitesimal generator A of S on L^p_ν is given by

$$D(A) = \left\{f \in L^p_\nu : Df \in L^p_\nu\right\},$$

$$Af = Df, \quad f \in D(A),$$

where Df is the first weak derivative of f.

Remark 6.3. The semigroup S defined in (6.5) is called the shift semigroup.

For the suitability of our aim, throughout this section we assume that $p \geq 2$ and $\nu > 0$.

Assume that function ζ in equation (6.3) is defined by

$$\zeta(t,f)(x) = g(t,x,f(x)), \quad f \in L^p_\nu, \quad t, x \in [0,\infty),$$

where $g : [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \to H$ is a given function. Define

$$G(t,f)[h](x) = \langle g(t,x,f(x)), h\rangle_H, \quad f \in L^p_\nu, \quad h \in H, \quad t, x \in [0,\infty) \tag{6.6}$$

and

$$F(t,f)(x) = \left\langle g(t,x,f(x)), \int_0^x g(t,y,f(y))dy \right\rangle_H, \quad f \in L^p_\nu, \quad t, x \in [0,\infty). \tag{6.7}$$

Then the abstract form of equation (6.3) in L^p_ν can be written as follows

$$dr(t) = [Ar(t) + F(t,r(t))]\,dt + G(t,r(t))dW(t), \quad t \in [0,\infty). \tag{6.8}$$

Theorem 6.4. *Let G and F be as given in (6.6) and (6.7) respectively. Assume that there exist functions $\bar{g} \in L^p_\nu$ and $\hat{g} \in L^p_\nu \cap L^\infty$ such that for all $t \in [0,\infty)$,*

$$\|g(t,x,u)\|_H \leq |\bar{g}(x)|, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in [0,\infty) \tag{6.9}$$

and

$$\|g(t,x,u) - g(t,x,\mathrm{v})\|_{\mathrm{H}} \leq |\hat{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{x})|\,|\mathrm{u} - \mathrm{v}|, \quad \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathrm{x} \in [0,\infty). \tag{6.10}$$

Then for each $r_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P}; L^p_\nu)$, there exists a unique L^p_ν-valued mild solution r to equation (6.8) with $r(0) = r_0$. Moreover, the solution is a Markov process.

In view of Theorem 3.1, the proof of the existence and the uniqueness of solutions follows from Lemma 6.2 and the following lemma. The proof of the Markov property follows from Theorem 5.3.

Lemma 6.5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 6.4, for each $t \geq 0$, $F(t,\cdot) : L^p_\nu \to L^p_\nu$ and $G(t,\cdot) : L^p_\nu \to \gamma(H, L^p_\nu)$ are well-defined. Moreover, for all $t \geq 0$,*

(i)

$$\|F(t,f)\|_{\nu,p} + \|G(t,f)\|_{\gamma(H,L^p_\nu)} \leq \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar g\|^2_{\nu,p} + N\|\bar g\|_{\nu,p}, \quad f \in L^p_\nu \tag{6.11}$$

(ii) $F(t,\cdot)$ and $G(t,\cdot)$ are globally Lipschitz on L^p_ν with Lipschitz constants independent of $t \in [0,\infty]$.

Proof. Our proof is based mainly on the proposition taken from [4]

Proposition 6.6. *Let H be a separable Hilbert space and $O \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $(O, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measurable space and $L^p = L^p(O, \mathcal{F}, \mu; \mathbb{R})$, $p \in [2,\infty)$. Then $K : H \to L^p$ defined by*

$$K[h](x) = \langle \kappa(x), h\rangle_H, \quad h \in H, \quad x \in O,$$

where $\kappa \in L^p(O, \mathcal{F}, \mu; H)$, is a γ-radonifying operator from H into L^p_ν and for some $N > 0$,

$$\|K\|_{\gamma(H,L^p)} \leq N\left(\int_O \|\kappa(x)\|^p_H d\mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof of (i) : Fix $t \geq 0$ and $f \in L^p_\nu$. Then using (6.9) we get

$$\int_0^\infty \|g(t,x,f(x)\|^p_H e^{\nu x} dx \leq \int_0^\infty |\bar g(x)|^p e^{\nu x} dx = \|\bar g\|^p_{\nu,p}.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 6.6, $G(t,f)$ is a γ-radonifying operator from H into L^p_ν and for some $N > 0$,

$$\|G(t,f)\|_{\gamma(H,L^p_\nu)} \leq N\|\bar g\|_{\nu,p}. \tag{6.12}$$

Thus, $G(t,\cdot)$ is well defined. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$|F(t,f)(x)| \leq \|g(t,x,f(x)\|_H \int_0^\infty \|g(t,y,f(y))\|_H \, dy.$$

It follows from Proposition 6.1 and (6.9) that

$$|F(t,f)(x)| \leq |\bar g(x)| \int_0^\infty |\bar g(y)| dy \leq \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar g\|_{\nu,p} |\bar g(x)|.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|F(t,f)(x)\|_{\nu,p} \leq \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar g\|_{\nu,p} \left(\int_0^\infty |\bar g(x)|^p e^{\nu x} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar g\|^2_{\nu,p}. \tag{6.13}$$

Hence, $F(t,\cdot)$ is well-defined, and by inequalities (6.12) and (6.13), we infer that

$$\|F(t,f)\|_{\nu,p} + \|G(t,f)\|_{\gamma(H,L^p_\nu)} \leq \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar g\|^2_{\nu,p} + N\|\bar g\|_{\nu,p}.$$

Proof of (ii) : Fix $t \geq 0$ and $f_1, f_2 \in L^p_\nu$. Then

$$(G(t,f_1) - G(t,f_2))\,[h](x) = \langle g(t,x,f_1(x)) - g(t,x,f_2(x)), h\rangle_H, \quad x \in [0,\infty), \quad h \in H.$$

From (6.10) we get

$$\int_0^\infty \|g(t,x,f_1(x)) - g(t,x,f_2(x))\|^p_H e^{\nu x} dx \leq \int_0^\infty |\hat g(x)|^p |f_1(x) - f_2(x)|^p e^{\nu x} dx \leq \|\hat g\|^p_{L^\infty} \|f_1 - f_2\|^p_{\nu,p}. \tag{6.14}$$

Therefore, by Proposition 6.6, there exist a constant $N > 0$ such that

$$\|G(t,f_1) - G(t,f_2)\|_{\gamma(H,L^p_\nu)} \leq N\|\hat g\|_{L^\infty} \|f_1 - f_2\|_{\nu,p}. \tag{6.15}$$

Hence, $G(t,\cdot)$ is globally Lipschitz on L^p_ν.

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (6.9) and (6.10) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|F(t,f_1)(x)-F(t,f_2)(x)| &= \left|\left\langle g(t,x,f_1(x)), \int_0^x (g(t,y,f_1(y))-g(t,y,f_2(y)))dy\right\rangle_H\right| \\
&+ \left|\left\langle g(t,x,f_1(x))-g(t,x,f_2(x)), \int_0^x g(t,y,f_2(y))dy\right\rangle_H\right| \\
&\le \|g(t,x,f_1(x))\|_H \int_0^\infty \|g(t,x,f_1(x))-g(t,x,f_2(x))\|_H dx \\
&+ \|g(t,x,f_1(x))-g(t,x,f_2(x))\|_H \int_0^\infty \|g(t,x,f_2(x))\|_H dx \\
&\le \|\hat{g}\|_{L^\infty}|\bar{g}(x)| \int_0^\infty |f_1(x)-f_2(x)|dx + |\hat{g}(x)|\,|f_1(x)-f_2(x)| \int_0^\infty |\bar{g}(x)|dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Proposition 6.1 that

$$
|F(t,f_1)(x)-F(t,f_2)(x)| \le \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\hat{g}\|_{L^\infty}\|f_1-f_2\|_{\nu,p}|\bar{g}(x)| + \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}|\hat{g}(x)|\,|f_1(x)-f_2(x)|. \tag{6.16}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F(t,f_1)-F(t,f_2)\|_{\nu,p} &\le 2\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\hat{g}\|_{L^\infty}\|f_1-f_2\|_{\nu,p}\left(\int_0^\infty |\bar{g}(x)|^p e^{\nu x}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&+ 2\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\|\hat{g}\|_{L^\infty}\left(\int_0^\infty |f_1(x)-f_2(x)|^p e^{\nu x}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= 4\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\hat{g}\|_{L^\infty}\|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\|f_1-f_2\|_{\nu,p}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $F(t,\cdot)$ is globally Lipschitz on L^p_ν, which concludes the proof. □

6.3. **Existence and uniqueness of solutions to HJMM equation with locally Lipschitz coefficients.** For each $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \ge 1$, let $W^{1,p}_\nu$ be the weighted Sobolev space defined by

$$
W^{1,p}_\nu = \{f \in L^p_\nu \ : \ Df \in L^p_\nu\},
$$

where Df is the first weak derivative of f. It is well known that $W^{1,p}_\nu$ is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu} = \|f\|_{\nu,p} + \|Df\|_{\nu,p}\,.
$$

Moreover, for $p \ge 2$, $W^{1,p}_\nu$ satisfies the H_p condition, see [21] for the proof.

Proposition 6.7. *Let $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \ge 1$. Then there exists a constant $C(\nu,p) > 0$ such that*

$$
\sup_{x\in[0,\infty)} e^{\nu x}|f(x)|^p \le C^p(\nu,p)\|f\|^p_{W^{1,p}_\nu}, \quad f \in W^{1,p}_\nu. \tag{6.17}
$$

Proof. Take fixed $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \ge 1$ and $f \in W^{1,p}_\nu$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since

$$
\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p e^{\nu x}dx < \infty,
$$

there exists $x_0 \in [0,\infty)$ such that $e^{\nu x_0}|f(x_0)|^p < \varepsilon$. Consider $x \in [x_0,\infty)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(x)|^p &= e^{\nu x_0-\nu x}|f(x_0)|^p + e^{-\nu x}\int_{x_0}^x D\left(|f(x)|^p e^{\nu x}\right)dx = e^{\nu x_0-\nu x}|f(x_0)|^p \\
&+ e^{-\nu x}p\int_{x_0}^x |f(x)|^{p-1}Df(x)e^{\nu x}dx + e^{-\nu x}\nu\int_{x_0}^x |f(x)|^p e^{\nu x}dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\sup_{x\in[x_0,\infty)} e^{\nu x}|f(x)|^p \le \varepsilon + p\int_{x_0}^\infty |f(x)|^{p-1}Df(x)e^{\nu x}dx + \nu\|f\|^p_{\nu,p}.
$$

Using the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{x_0}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{p-1} Df(x) e^{\nu x} dx &= \int_{x_0}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{p-1} Df(x) e^{\frac{(p-1)\nu x}{p}} e^{\frac{\nu x}{p}} dx \\ &\le \left(\int_{x_0}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p e^{\nu x} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{x_0}^{\infty} |Df(x)|^p e^{\nu x} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\le \frac{p-1}{p} \|f\|_{\nu,p}^p + \frac{1}{p} \|Df\|_{\nu,p}^p.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we infer that

$$\sup_{x \in [x_0, \infty)} e^{\nu x} |f(x)|^p \le \varepsilon + (p-1)\|f\|_{\nu,p}^p + \|Df\|_{\nu,p}^p + \nu \|f\|_{\nu,p}^p.$$

Similarly, we can prove the above inequality for $x \in [0, x_0)$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this concludes the proof. □

Proposition 6.8. *Let $\nu > 0$ and $p \ge 1$. Then for each $f \in W_\nu^{1,p}$,*

$$\sup_{x \in [0,\infty)} |f(x)| \le \|Df\|_{L^1}. \tag{6.18}$$

Proof. Fix $\nu > 0$, $p \ge 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since for any $f \in W_\nu^{1,p}$,

$$\int_0^{\infty} |f(x)| dx < \infty.$$

Hence, there exists $x_0 \in [0, \infty)$ such that $|f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$. Consider $x \in [x_0, \infty)$. Then

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| = \left| \int_{x_0}^{x} Df(x) dx \right| \le \int_{x_0}^{x} |Df(x)|\, dx.$$

Thus, we get

$$|f(x)| \le |f(x_0)| + |f(x) - f(x_0)| \le \varepsilon + \int_{x_0}^{x} |Df(x)|\, dx.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we infer that

$$\sup_{x \in [x_0,\infty)} |f(x)| \le \|Df\|_{L^1}.$$

Similarly, we can prove the above inequality for $x \in [0, x_0)$. This gives the desired conclusion. □

Lemma 6.9. *Let $S = \{S(t)\}_{t \ge 0}$ be the family of operators defined in (6.5) for the space $W_\nu^{1,p}$. Then S is a contraction type C_0-semigroup on $W_\nu^{1,p}$ and its infinitesimal generator A satisfies*

$$D(A) = \left\{ f \in W_\nu^{1,p} : Df, D^2 f \in L_\nu^p \right\},$$

$$Af = Df, \quad f \in D(A).$$

where Df and $D^2 f$ denote the first and second weak derivative of f respectively.

For the suitability of our aim, in this section we again assume that $p \ge 2$ and $\nu > 0$.

Theorem 6.10. *Assume that $g : [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \to H$ is a measurable, weakly differentiable mapping with respect to the second and third variables, and G and F are as defined in (6.6) and (6.7). Assume that there exist functions $\bar{g}, \hat{g} \in W_\nu^{1,p}$ such that*

(i) for all $t \in [0,\infty)$,

$$\|g(t,x,u)\|_H \le |\bar{g}(x)|, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in [0,\infty), \tag{6.19}$$

(ii) for all $t \in [0,\infty)$,

$$\|g(t,x,u) - g(t,x,\mathrm{v})\|_{\mathrm{H}} \le |\hat{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{x})|\, |\mathrm{u} - \mathrm{v}|, \quad \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathrm{x} \in [0,\infty), \tag{6.20}$$

(iii) for all $t \in [0,\infty)$*,*

$$\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}g(t,x,u)\right\|_H \le |D\bar{g}(x)|\,, \quad u\in\mathbb{R}, \quad x\in[0,\infty), \tag{6.21}$$

(iv) for all $t \in [0,\infty)$*,*

$$\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}g(t,x,u)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}g(t,x,\mathrm{v})\right\|_H \le |D\hat{g}(x)|\ |u-\mathrm{v}|, \quad \mathrm{u},\mathrm{v}\in\mathbb{R}, \quad \mathrm{x}\in[0,\infty), \tag{6.22}$$

(v) there exists $K_1>0$ *such that for all* $t\in[0,\infty)$*,*

$$\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial u}g(t,x,u)\right\|_H \le K_1, \quad u\in\mathbb{R}, \quad x\in[0,\infty), \tag{6.23}$$

(vi) there exists $K_2>0$ *such that for all* $t\in[0,\infty)$*,*

$$\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial u}g(t,x,u)-\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{v}}g(t,x,\mathrm{v})\right\|_H \le K_2|u-\mathrm{v}|, \quad \mathrm{u},\mathrm{v}\in\mathbb{R}, \quad \mathrm{x}\in[0,\infty). \tag{6.24}$$

Then for each $r_0\in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_0,\mathbb{P};W^{1,p}_\nu)$*, there exists a unique* $W^{1,p}_\nu$*-valued mild solution* r *to equation (6.8) with* $r(0)=r_0$*. Moreover, the solution is a Markov process.*

Remark 6.11. In the formula of theorem 6.10 we denote by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}g(t,x,u)$ the weak derivative of function $[0,\infty)\ni x\mapsto g(t,x,u)$ when t and u are fixed. Similarly, we denote by $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}g(t,x,u)$ the weak derivative of function $\mathbb{R}\ni u\mapsto g(t,x,u)$ when t and x are fixed.

In view of Theorem 4.1, the proof of the existence and the uniqueness of solutions follows from Lemma 6.9 and the following two lemmata. The proof of the Markov property follows from Theorem 5.3.

Lemma 6.12. *Under the all assumptions of Theorem 6.10, for each* $t\ge 0$*,* $G(t,\cdot)$ *defined in (6.6) is a well-defined map from* $W^{1,p}_\nu$ *into* $\gamma(H,W^{1,p}_\nu)$*. Moreover, for all* $t\ge 0$*,*

(i)

$$\|G(t,f)\|_{\gamma\left(H,W^{1,p}_\nu\right)} \le N\left(\|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}+2\,\|D\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}+2K_1\,\|Df\|_{\nu,p}\right), \quad f\in W^{1,p}_\nu$$

(ii) $G(t,\cdot)$ *is locally Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant independent of time* $t\ge 0$*.*

Proof. **(i) :** Fix $t\ge 0$ and $f\in W^{1,p}_\nu$. Then using (6.19) we have

$$\int_0^\infty \|g(t,x,f(x)\|_H^p e^{\nu x}dx \le \int_0^\infty |\bar{g}(x)|^p\, e^{\nu x}dx = \|\bar{g}\|^p_{\nu,p}. \tag{6.25}$$

Note that the first weak derivative $Dg(t,\cdot,f(\cdot))$ of function $[0,\infty)\ni x\mapsto g(t,x,f(x))$ is given by, see [21] for the proof,

$$Dg(t,x,f(x)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}g(t,x,f(x)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}g(t,x,f(x))Df(x)\Big|_{u=f(x)}.$$

Thus, from (6.21) and (6.23) we get

$$\|Dg(t,x,f(x))\|_H \le \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}g(t,x,f(x))\right\|_H + \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial u}g(t,x,f(x))\right\|_H |Df(x)| \le |D\bar{g}(x)| + K_1\,|Df(x)|\,. \tag{6.26}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \|Dg(t,x,f(x))\|_H^p e^{\nu x}dx \le 2^p\int_0^\infty |D\bar{g}(x)|^p\, e^{\nu x}dx + 2^pK_1^p\int_0^\infty |Df(x)|^p\, e^{\nu x}dx \le 2^p\left(\|D\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}+K_1\,\|Df\|_{\nu,p}\right)^p. \tag{6.27}$$

It was proven in Theorem 4.1 of [7] that if $K: H\to W^{1,p}$, $p\in[2,\infty)$, is a map defined by

$$K(h)(x) = \langle\kappa(x),h\rangle_H, \quad x\in[0,\infty), \quad h\in H,$$

where $\kappa\in W^{1,p}([0,\infty);H)$, then $K\in\gamma\left(H,W^{1,p}\right)$ and for some $N>0$,

$$\|K\|_{\gamma\left(H,W^{1,p}\right)} \le N\|\kappa\|_{W^{1,p}([0,\infty);H)}.$$

Therefore, in view of this theorem, by (6.25) and (6.27), $G(t,f)$ is a γ-radonifying operator from H into $W^{1,p}_\nu$ and for some $N>0$,

$$\|G(t,f)\|_{\gamma\left(H,W^{1,p}_\nu\right)} \le N\left(\|\bar g\|_{\nu,p} + 2\,\|D\bar g\|_{\nu,p} + 2K_1\,\|Df\|_{\nu,p}\right). \tag{6.28}$$

Proof of (ii) Fix $t \ge 0$ and $R > 0$ such that $\|f_1\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu}, \|f_2\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu} \le R$. Then from Proposition 6.7 and (6.20) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \|g(t,x,f_1(x)) - g(t,x,f_2(x))\|_H^p e^{\nu x}dx &\le \int_0^\infty |\hat g(x)|^p\,|f_1(x)-f_2(x)|^p\,e^{\nu x}dx\\ &\le \sup_{x\in[0,\infty)}|f_1(x)-f_2(x)|^p\int_0^\infty |\hat g(x)|^p\,e^{\nu x}dx\\ &\le C^p(\nu,p)\|\hat g\|^p_{\nu,p}\|f_1-f_2\|^p_{W^{1,p}_\nu}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

Note that the first week derivative of the functions $g(t,\cdot,f_1(\cdot))$ and $g(t,\cdot,f_2(\cdot))$ are given by respectively

$$\begin{aligned}Dg(t,x,f_1(x)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}g(t,x,f_1(x)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}g(t,x,f_1(x))Df(x)\Big|_{u=f_1(x)},\\ Dg(t,x,f_2(x)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}g(t,x,f_2(x)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{v}}g(t,x,f_2(x))Df(x)\Big|_{\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{f}_2(\mathrm{x})}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.30}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|Dg(t,x,f_1(x)) - Dg(t,x,f_2(x))\|_H &\le \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}g(t,x,f_1(x)) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}g(t,x,f_2(x))\right\|_H\\ &+ \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial u}g(t,x,f_1(x))\right\|_H |Df_1(x)-Df_2(x)| + \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial u}g(t,x,f_1(x)) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{v}}g(t,x,f_2(x))\right\|_H |Df_2(x)|.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (6.22), (6.23) and (6.24) that

$$\|Dg(t,x,f_1(x)) - Dg(t,x,f_2(x))\|_H \le |D\hat g(x)|\,|f_1(x)-f_2(x)| + K_1\,|Df_1(x)-Df_2(x)| + K_2\,|f_1(x)-f_2(x)|\,|Df_2(x)|. \tag{6.31}$$

Therefore, by Proposition 6.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \|Dg(t,x,f_1(x)) - Dg(t,x,f_2(x))\|_H^p\,e^{\nu x}dx &\le 3^p\int_0^\infty |D\hat g(x)|^p\,|f_1(x)-f_2(x)|^p\,e^{\nu x}dx\\ &+ 3^pK_1^p\int_0^\infty |Df_1(x)-Df_2(x)|^p\,e^{\nu x}dx + 3^pK_2^p\int_0^\infty |f_1(x)-f_2(x)|^p\,|Df_2(x)|^p\,e^{\nu x}dx\\ &\le 3^pC^p(\nu,p)\,\|f_1-f_2\|^p_{W^{1,p}_\nu}\,\|D\hat g\|^p_{\nu,p} + 3^pK_1^p\,\|Df_1-Df_2\|^p_{\nu,p}\\ &+ 3^pK_2^pC^p(\nu,p)\,\|f_1-f_2\|^p_{W^{1,p}_\nu}\,\|Df_2\|^p_{\nu,p}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.32}$$

Hnece, in view of Theorem 4.1 in [7], by estimates (6.29) and (6.32), there exist a constant $C(R) > 0$ such that

$$\|G(t,f_1) - G(t,f_1)\|_{\gamma(H,W^{1,p}_\nu)} \le C(R)\,\|f_1-f_2\|^p_{W^{1,p}_\nu}, \tag{6.33}$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma 6.13. *Under the assumption of Theorem 6.10, for each $t \ge 0$, $F(t,\cdot)$ defined in (6.7) is a well-defined map from $W^{1,p}_\nu$ into $W^{1,p}_\nu$. Moreover, for all $t \ge 0$,*

(i)

$$\|F(t,f)\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu} \le \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac1q}\|\bar g\|^2_{\nu,p} + 3\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac1q}\|\bar g\|_{\nu,p}\left(\|D\bar g\|_{\nu,p} + K_1\|Df\|_{\nu,p}\right) + 3C(\nu,p)\|\bar g\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu}\|\bar g\|_{\nu,p}, \quad f \in W^{1,p}_\nu \tag{6.34}$$

(ii) $F(t,\cdot)$ is locally Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant independent of time $t \ge 0$.

Proof. **(i) :** Fix $t \geq 0$ and $f \in W^{1,p}_\nu$. Then by the chain rule and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain (with $DF(t,f)$ being the first weak derivative of $F(t,f)$ w.r.t x)

$$\begin{aligned}|DF(t,f)(x)| &= \left|\left\langle Dg(t,x,f(x)), \int_0^x g(t,y,f(y))dy\right\rangle_H + \langle g(t,x,f(x)), g(t,x,f(x))\rangle_H\right| \\ &\leq \|D(g(t,x,f(x)))\|_H \int_0^\infty \|g(t,x,f(x))\|_H dx + \|g(t,x,f(x))\|_H \|g(t,x,f(x))\|_H.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 6.1 and (6.19) that

$$\begin{aligned}|DF(t,f)(x)| &\leq \|Dg(t,x,f(x)\|_H \int_0^\infty |\bar{g}(x)|\, dx + |\bar{g}(x)|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\, \|Dg(t,x,f(x))\|_H + |\bar{g}(x)|^2\,.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by inequality (6.26), we get

$$|DF(t,f)(x)| \leq \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\, |D\bar{g}(x)| + K_1 \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\, |Df(x)| + |\bar{g}(x)|^2\,.$$

Hence, by Proposition 6.1 and Proposition 6.7, we obtain

$$\|DF(t,f)\|_{\nu,p} \leq 3\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\, \|D\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p} + 3\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\, K_1\, \|Df\|_{\nu,p} + 3C(\nu,p)\|\bar{g}\|_{\nu.p}\|\bar{g}\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu}. \tag{6.35}$$

Therefore, by estimates (6.13) and (6.35), $F(t,\cdot)$ is well-defined and

$$\|F(t,f)\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu} \leq \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|^2_{\nu,p} + 3\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\left(\|D\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p} + K_1\|Df\|_{\nu,p}\right) + 3C(\nu,p)\|\bar{g}\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu}\|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}.$$

Proof of (ii) : Fix $t \geq 0$ and $R > 0$ such that $\|f_1\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu}, \|f_2\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu} \leq R$. Then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|F(t,f_1)(x) - F(t,f_2)(x)| &= \left|\left\langle g(t,x,f_1(x)), \int_0^x g(t,y,f_1(y))dy\right\rangle_H - \left\langle g(t,x,f_2(x)), \int_0^x g(t,y,f_2(y))dy\right\rangle_H\right| \\ &\leq \left|\left\langle g(t,x,f_1(x)) - g(t,x,f_2(x)), \int_0^x g(t,y,f_2(y))dy\right\rangle_H\right| \\ &+ \left|\left\langle g(t,x,f_1(x)), \int_0^x \left[g(t,x,f_1(x)) - g(t,y,f_2(y))\right] dy\right\rangle_H\right| \\ &\leq \|g(t,x,f_1(x)) - g(t,x,f_2(x))\|_H \int_0^\infty \|g(t,x,f_2(x))\|_H\, dx \\ &+ \|g(t,x,f_1(x))\|_H \int_0^\infty \|g(t,x,f_1(x)) - g(t,x,f_2(x))\|\, dx.\end{aligned}$$

By (6.19) and (6.20), we obtain

$$|F(t,f_1)(x) - F(t,f_2)(x)| \leq |\hat{g}(x)|\, |f_1(x) - f_2(x)| \int_0^\infty |\bar{g}(x)|\, dx + |\bar{g}(x)| \int_0^\infty |\hat{g}(x)|\, |f_1(x) - f_2(x)|\, dx.$$

It follows from Proposition 6.1 and Proposition 6.8 that

$$|F(t,f_1)(x) - F(t,f_2)(x)| \leq \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\, |\hat{g}(x)|\, |f_1(x) - f_2(x)| + \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f_1 - f_1\|_{\nu,p}\, \|D\hat{g}\|_{L^1}\, |\bar{g}(x)|\,.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 6.7, we infer that

$$\|F(t,f_1) - F(t,f_2)\|_{\nu,p} \leq 2C(\nu,p)\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\, \|\hat{g}\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu}\, \|f_1 - f_2\|_{\nu,p} + 2\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f_1 - f_1\|_{\nu,p}\, \|D\hat{g}\|_{L^1}\, \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\,. \tag{6.36}$$

By the chain rule, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
DF(t,f_1)(x) - DF(t,f_2)(x) &= \left\langle Dg(t,x,f_1(x)), \int_0^x g(t,y,f_1(y))dy \right\rangle_H + \langle g(t,x,f_1(x)), g(t,x,f_1(x))\rangle_H \\
&\quad - \left\langle Dg(t,x,f_2(x)), \int_0^x g(t,y,f_2(y))dy \right\rangle_H - \langle g(t,x,f_2(x)), g(t,x,f_2(x))\rangle_H \\
&= \left\langle Dg(t,x,f_1(x)), \int_0^x (g(t,y,f_1(y)) - g(t,y,f_2(y)))dy \right\rangle_H \\
&\quad + \left\langle Dg(t,x,f_1(x)) - Dg(t,x,f_2(x)), \int_0^\infty g(t,x,f_2(x))dx \right\rangle_H \\
&\quad + \langle g(t,x,f_1(x)), [g(t,x,f_1(x)) - g(t,x,f_2(x))]\rangle_H \\
&\quad + \langle g(t,x,f_1(x)) - g(t,x,f_2(x)), g(t,x,f_2(x))\rangle_H .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|DF(t,f_1)(x) - DF(t,f_2)(x)| &\le \|Dg(t,x,f_1(x))\|_H \int_0^\infty \|g(t,x,f_1(x)) - g(t,x,f_2(x))\|_H \, dx \\
&\quad + \|Dg(t,x,f_1(x)) - Dg(t,x,f_2(x)\|_H \int_0^\infty \|g(t,x,f_2(x))\|_H \, dx \\
&\quad + 2\,\|g(t,x,f_1(x))\|_H \, \|g(t,x,f_1(x)) - g(t,x,f_2(x))\|_H .
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (6.19) and (6.20) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|DF(t,f_1)(x) - DF(t,f_2)(x)| \le \|Dg(t,x,f_1(x))\|_H \int_0^\infty |\hat g(x)| \, |f_1(x) - f_2(x)| \, dx \\
&+ \|Dg(t,x,f_1(x)) - Dg(t,x,f_2(x)\|_H \int_0^\infty |\bar g(x)| \, dx + 2\,|\bar g(x)| \, |\hat g(x)| \, |f_1(x) - f_2(x)| \\
&\le \|Dg(t,x,f_1(x))\|_H \sup_{x\in[0,\infty)} |\hat g(x)| \int_0^\infty |f_1(x) - f_2(x)| \, dx + \|Dg(t,x,f_1(x)) - Dg(t,x,f_2(x)\|_H \int_0^\infty |\bar g(x)| \, dx \\
&+ 2\,|\bar g(x)| \, |\hat g(x)| \, |f_1(x) - f_2(x)| .
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 6.1 and Proposition 6.8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|DF(t,f_1)(x) - DF(t,f_2)(x)| &\le \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|D\hat g\|_{L^1} \, \|f_1 - f_2\|_{\nu,p} \, \|Dg(t,x,f_1(x))\|_H \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar g\|_{\nu,p} \, \|Dg(t,x,f_1(x)) - Dg(t,x,f_2(x)\|_H \\
&\quad + 2\,|\bar g(x)| \, |\hat g(x)| \, |f_1(x) - f_2(x)| .
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from inequalities (6.26) and (6.31) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|DF(t,f_1)(x) - DF(t,f_2)(x)| &\le \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|D\hat g\|_{L^1} \, \|f_1 - f_2\|_{\nu,p} \, |D\bar g(x)| + K_1 \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|D\hat g\|_{L^1} \, \|f_1 - f_2\|_{\nu,p} \, |Df_1(x)| \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar g\|_{\nu,p} \, |D\hat g(x)| \, |f_1(x) - f_2(x)| + K_1 \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar g\|_{\nu,p} \, |Df_1(x) - Df_2(x)| \\
&\quad + K_2 \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar g\|_{\nu,p} \, |f_1(x) - f_2(x)| \, |Df_2(x)| + 2\,|\bar g(x)| \, |\hat g(x)| \, |f_1(x) - f_2(x)| .
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|DF(t,f_1)-DF(t,f_2)(x)\|_{\nu,p} \le{}& \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|D\hat{g}\|_{L^1}\|f_1-f_2\|_{\nu,p}\left(\int_0^\infty |D\bar{g}(x)|^p\, e^{\nu x}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &+K_1\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|D\hat{g}\|_{L^1}\|f_1-f_2\|_{\nu,p}\left(\int_0^\infty |Df_1(x)|^p\, e^{\nu x}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &+\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\left(\int_0^\infty |D\hat{g}(x)|^p\,|f_1(x)-f_2(x)|^p\, e^{\nu x}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &+K_1\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\left(\int_0^\infty |Df_1(x)-Df_2(x)|^p\, e^{\nu x}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &+K_2\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\left(\int_0^\infty |f_1(x)-f_2(x)|^p\,|Df_2(x)|^p\, e^{\nu x}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &+2\left(\int_0^\infty |\bar{g}(x)|^p\,|\hat{g}(x)|^p\,|f_1(x)-f_2(x)|^p\, e^{\nu x}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 6.7 that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|DF(t,f_1)-DF(t,f_2)(x)\right\|_{\nu,p} \le{}& \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|D\hat{g}\|_{L^1}\|f_1-f_2\|_{\nu,p}\|D\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}+K_1\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|D\hat{g}\|_{L^1}\|f_1-f_2\|_{\nu,p}\|Df_1\|_{\nu,p}\\ &+C(v,P)\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\|D\hat{g}\|_{\nu,p}\|f_1-f_2\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu}+K_1\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\|Df_1-Df_2\|_{\nu,p}\\ &+C(v,P)K_2\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\|f_1-f_2\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu}\left\|f_2'\right\|_{\nu,p}+2C^2(\nu,p)\|f_1-f_2\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu}\|\hat{g}\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu}\|\hat{g}\|_{\nu,p}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

Therefore, by inequalities (6.36) and (6.37), there exists $C(R)>0$ such that

$$\|F(t,f_1)-F(t,f_2)\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu} \le C(R)\|f_1-f_2\|_{W^{1,p}_\nu},$$

which concludes the proof. □

6.4. **Existence and Uniqueness of Invariant Measures for Equation (6.8).** In the section we consider that the coefficients G and F of equation (6.8) are time independent. The following corollary is a natural consequence of Theorem 6.4.

Corollary 6.14. *Let $g:[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}\to H$ be a measurable function such that there are functions $\bar{g}\in L^p_\nu$ and $\hat{g}\in L^p_\nu\cap L^\infty$ such that*

$$\|g(x,u)\|_H \le |\bar{g}(x)|,\quad u\in\mathbb{R},\quad x\in[0,\infty) \tag{6.38}$$

and

$$\|g(x,u)-g(t,x,\mathrm{v})\|_{\mathrm{H}} \le |\hat{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{x})|\,|\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{v}|,\quad \mathrm{u},\mathrm{v}\in\mathbb{R},\quad \mathrm{x}\in[0,\infty). \tag{6.39}$$

Assume that maps G and F are defined respectively by

$$G(f)[h](x)=\langle g(x,f(x)),h\rangle_H,\quad f\in L^p_\nu,\quad x\in[0,\infty),\quad h\in H. \tag{6.40}$$

$$F(f)(x)=\langle g(x,f(x)),\int_0^x g(t,f(y))dy\rangle_H,\quad f\in L^p_\nu,\quad x\in[0,\infty). \tag{6.41}$$

Then for each $r_0\in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_0,\mathbb{P};L^p_\nu)$, there exists a unique L^p_ν-valued mild solution r to equation (6.8) with $r(0)=r_0$ and the solution is a Markov process.

Theorem 6.15. *Let us assume that all assumptions of Corollary 6.14 are satisfied. If $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ and*

$$2\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\hat{g}\|_{L^\infty}\|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}+(p-1)N^2\|\hat{g}\|^2_{L^\infty}<\frac{\nu}{2}, \tag{6.42}$$

then equation (6.8) has a unique invariant measure in the spaces L^p_ν.

Proof. In the view of Theorem 5.6 it is enough to check that there exist a constant $\omega > 0$ and a natural number $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $f_1, f_2 \in L^p_\nu$ and $n \geq n_0$,

$$[A_n(f_1 - f_2) + F(f_1) - F(f_2), f_1 - f_2] + \frac{K_2(p)}{p}\|G(f_1) - G(f_2)\|^2_{\gamma(H,L^p_\nu)} \leq -\omega\|f_1 - f_2\|^2_{\nu,p}, \tag{6.43}$$

where $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is the semi-inner product on $L^p_\nu \times L^p_\nu$ which is given, see [10], by

$$[f,g] = \|g\|^{2-p}_{\nu,p}\int_0^\infty f(x)g(x)|g(x)|^{p-2}e^{\nu x}dx, \quad f,g \in L^p_\nu.$$

We will prove the theorem in the following few steps.

Step 1 : Fix $f_1, f_2 \in L^p_\nu$. Then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|F(f_1)(x) - F(f_2)(x)\big| &= \left|\left\langle g(x,f_1(x)), \int_0^x g(y,f_1(y))dy\right\rangle_H - \left\langle g(x,f_2(x)), \int_0^x g(y,f_2(y))dy\right\rangle_H\right| \\
&= \left|\left\langle g(x,f_1(x)), \int_0^x [g(y,f_1(y)) - g(y,f_2(y))]dy\right\rangle_H\right| \\
&\quad + \left|\left\langle g(x,f_1(x)) - g(x,f_2(x)), \int_0^x g(y,f_2(y))dy\right\rangle_H\right| \\
&\leq \|g(x,f_1(x))\|_H \int_0^\infty \|g(y,f_1(y)) - g(y,f_2(y))\|_H\, dy \\
&\quad + \|g(x,f_1(x)) - g(x,f_2(x))\|_H \int_0^\infty \|g(y,f_2(y))\|_H\, dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from Proposition 6.1, (6.38) and (6.39) that

$$|F(f_1)(x) - F(f_2)(x)| \leq \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\hat{g}|_{L^\infty}\|f_1 - f_2\|_{\nu,p}|\bar{g}(x)| + \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}|\hat{g}(x)|\,|f_1(x) - f_2(x)|.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
[F(f_1) - F(f_2), f_1 - f_2] &\leq \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\hat{g}\|_{L^\infty}\|f_1 - f_2\|^{3-p}_{\nu,p}\int_0^\infty |\bar{g}(x)|\,|f_1(x) - f_2(x)|^{p-1}e^{\nu x}dx \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\|f_1 - f_2\|^{2-p}_{\nu,p}\int_0^\infty |\hat{g}(x)|\,|f_1(x) - f_2(x)|^p e^{\nu x}dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty |\bar{g}(x)|\,|f_1(x) - f_2(x)|^{p-1}e^{\nu x}dx &= \int_0^\infty |\bar{g}(x)|\,|f_1(x) - f_2(x)|^{p-1}e^{\nu x\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)}e^{\frac{\nu x}{p}}dx \\
&\leq \left(\int_0^\infty |\bar{g}(x)|^p e^{\nu x}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_0^\infty |f_1(x) - f_2(x)|^p e^{\nu x}dx\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&= \|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}\|f_1 - f_2\|^{p-1}_{\nu,p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^\infty |\hat{g}(x)|\,|f_1(x) - f_2(x)|^p e^{\nu x}dx \leq \|\hat{g}\|_{L^\infty}\|f_1 - f_2\|^p_{\nu,p}.$$

we deduce that

$$[F(f_1) - F(f_2), f_1 - f_2] \leq \omega_1\|f_1 - f_2\|^2_{\nu,p}, \tag{6.44}$$

where $\omega_1 = 2\left(\frac{p}{\nu q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\hat{g}\|_{L^\infty}\|\bar{g}\|_{\nu,p}$.

Step 2 : Define

$$P(t) = e^{\frac{\nu t}{p}}S(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Since $\|S(t)\|_{L(L^p_\nu)} \leq e^{\frac{-\nu t}{p}}$, $\|P(t)\|_{L(L^p_\nu)} \leq 1$. Therefore P is a contraction C_0-semigroup on L^p_ν with the infinitesimal generator given by

$$B = \frac{\nu I}{p} + A.$$

From Theorem 4.3 (b) in [24] B is dissipative and hence for all $f \in D(B)$ and $f^* \in F(f)$, $\langle Bf, f^* \rangle \leq 0$, where $F(f) = \left\{ f^* \in (L^p_\nu)^* \, : \, \langle f, f^* \rangle = \|f\|^2 = \|f^*\|^2 \right\}$. By the definition of the semi-inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$, see Definition 5.7, $[f, g] = \langle f, g^* \rangle$, $g^* \in (L^p_\nu)^*$ and $\langle g, g^* \rangle = \|g\|^2$, i.e. $g^* \in (L^p_\nu)^*$. Therefore, $\langle Bf, f^* \rangle = [Bf, f]$. Hence $[Bf, f] \leq 0$.

$$A_n = nA(nI - A)^{-1} = n\left(B - \frac{\nu}{p} I\right)\left(nI + \frac{\nu}{p} I - B\right)^{-1}.$$

Let $\omega_2 = \frac{-\nu}{p}$ and $k = n - \omega_2$. Then

$$A_n = (\omega^2 + k\omega_2)(kI - B)^{-1} + \left(1 + \frac{\omega_2}{k}\right) B_k.$$

Therefore, we get

$$[A_n f, f] \leq (\omega_2^2 + k\omega_2) \|(kI - B)^{-1}\| \, \|f\|^2_{\nu,p}.$$

By Theorem 3.1 in [24], $\|(kI - B)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{k}$. Thus,

$$[A_n f, f] \leq \frac{\omega_2^2 + k\omega_2}{k} \|f\|^2_{\nu,p}$$

and since $k = n - \omega_2$, we infer that

$$[A_n f, f] \leq \frac{n\omega_2}{n - \omega_2} \|f\|^2_{\nu,p}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$[A_n(f_1 - f_2), f_1 - f_2] \leq \frac{n\omega_2}{n - \omega_2} \|f_1 - f_2\|^2_{\nu,p}, \quad f_1, f_2 \in L^p_\nu. \tag{6.45}$$

Step 3 : By inequalities (6.4) and (6.15), we get

$$\frac{K_2(p)}{p} \|G(f_1) - G(f_2)\|^2_{\gamma(H, L^p_\nu)} \leq \omega_3 \|f_1 - f_2\|^2_{\nu,p}, \tag{6.46}$$

where $\omega_3 = (p-1)N^2 \|\hat{g}\|^2_{L^\infty}$. Taking into account inequalities (6.44), (6.45) and (6.46) we obtain

$$[A_n(f_1 - f_2) + F(f_1) - F(f_2), f_1 - f_2] + \frac{K_p}{p} \|G(f_1) - G(f_2)\|^2_{\gamma(H, L^p_\nu)} \leq \left(\omega_1 + \omega_3 + \frac{n\omega_2}{n - \omega_2}\right) \|f_1 - f_2\|^2_{\nu,p}.$$

Since $\omega_1 + \omega_3 + \frac{n\omega_2}{n-\omega_2} \to \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 < 0$ by (6.42), we infer that $\exists \, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall \, \omega \in (0, -(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3))$,

$$\omega_1 + \omega_3 + \frac{n\omega_2}{n - \omega_2} \leq -\omega, \quad n \geq n_0.$$

Therefore (6.43) holds for any $\omega \in (0, -(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3))$ and $n \geq \frac{\omega_2(\omega + \omega_1 + \omega_3)}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 + \omega}$. This finishes the proof. □

Remark 6.16. It would be interesting to investigate the existence and the uniqueness of invariant measures for equation (6.8) in the spaces $W^{1,p}_\nu$.

Remark 6.17. In the subsequent paper [11] we will study the existence, uniqueness and ergodic properties of solutions to a nonlinear modification of HJMM equation, proposed by [17], in the weighted L^p_ν spaces.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Prof Lutz Weis for his hospitality during our visit in Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT). Also second name author would like to thank Ministry of National Education of Republic of Turkey for funding him during his PhD. We would also like to thank to Prof Ben Goldys for stimulating discussion on various parts of this work.

REFERENCES

[1] J.M. Ball, *Strongly continous semigroups, weak solutions and the variation of constants formula,* American Math Society 63/2 (1977)

[2] T. Björk, *A geometric view of the term structure of interest rates,* Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Cattedra Galileiana (2000)

[3] Z. Brzeźniak, *On stochastic convolution in Banach spaces and applications,* Stochastics and Stochastics Reports, 61, 245-295 (1997)

[4] Z. Brzeźniak and S. Peszat, *Space time continuous solutions to SPDE's driven by a homogeneous Wiener process,* Studia Mathematica 137/3 (1999)

[5] Z. Brzeźniak and S. Peszat, *Maximal inequalities and exponential estimates for stochastic convolutions in Banach spaces,* Stochastic Process, Physics and Geometry, 55-64 (2000)

[6] Z. Brzeźniak and K.D. Elworthy, *Stochastic diffirential equations on Banach monifolds,* Methods.Func.Anal. Topology, 6, 43-84 (2000)

[7] Z. Brzeźniak and S. Peszat, *Stochastic two dimensional Euler equations,* The Annals of Probability, Vol. 29, No. 4, 1796–1832 (2001)

[8] Z. Brzeźniak and A. Carroll, *Approxximation of the Wong-Zakai type for stochastic differential equations in M-type 2 Banach spaces with applications to loop spaces,* Springer,251-289 (2003)

[9] Z. Brzeźniak, B. Maslowski and J. Seidler, *Stochastic non-linear beam equations,* Prob. Theory and Rel Fields, Vol.132, Issue 1, 119-149 (2005)

[10] Z. Brzeźniak, H. Long and I. Simão, *Invariant measures for stochastic evulution equations in M-type 2 Banach spaces,* Springer, 785-810 (2010)

[11] Z. Brzeźniak and T. Kok, *A nonlinear modification of HJM model: existence, uniquness and ergodic properties of solutions,* In preparing

[12] D. Filipović, CONSISTENCY PROBLEMS OF HEAT-JARROW-MORTON INTEREST RATE MODELS, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2001)

[13] D. Filipović, J. Teichmann, *On the geometry of the term structure of interest rates,* Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci., 460, pp 129-167 (2004)

[14] D. Filipović, S. Tappe, *Exitence of Levy term structure models,* Finance and Stochastic, Springer, 12/1, 83-115 (2008)

[15] D. Filipović, TERM-STRUCTURE MODELS : A GRADUADE COURSE,Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2009)

[16] D. Filipović, S. Tappe, J. Teichmann, *Term structure models driven by wiener processes and poission measures : existance and positivity,* Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, vol. 1, pp. 523–554 (2010)

[17] B. Goldys, M. Musiela, D. Sondermann, *Lognormality of rates and term structure models,* Stochastic Analysis and Applications (2000)

[18] B. Goldys, M. Musiela, *Infinite dimentional diffusions, kolmogorov equations and interest rate models,* Cambridge Univ Press, 314–335 (2001)

[19] D. Heath, R. Jarrow, A. Morton, *Bond pricing and the term structure of interest rates : a new methodology,* Econometrica, 61, 77-105 (1992)

[20] L. Karatzas, S.E. Shreve BROWNIAN MOTION AND STOCHASTIC CALCULUS, Springer (1988)

[21] T. Kok, STOCHASTIC EVOLUTION EQUATIONS IN BANACH SPACES AND APPLICATION TO HEAT-JARROW-MORTON-MUSIELA EQUATION, PhD thesis, in preparation

[22] M. Musiela, *Stochastic PDEs and term structure models,* J.Intern.Finance, IGR-AFFI, La Baule (1993)

[23] A.L, Neidhardt STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS IN 2-UNIFORMLY SMOOTH BANACH SPACES, PhD thesis, University of Wisconsin (1978)

[24] A. Pazy, SEMIGROUPS OF LINEAR OPERATORS AND APPLICATIONS TO PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, Sipringer (1983)

[25] S. Peszat, *Heath-Jarrow-Morton-Musiela equation of bond Market,* Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences **21** (2007)

[26] G.D. Prato, J. Zabczyk, STOCHASTIC EQUATIONS IN INFINITE DIMENSIONS, Cambridge University Press (1992)

[27] A. Rusinek, *Invariant measures for forward rate hjm model with levy noise,* Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences 21 (2006)

[28] A. Rusinek, *Mean reversion for hjmm forward rate models,* Adv. Appl. Prob. 42, 371-391 (2010)

[29] T. Vargiolu, *Invariant measures for the musiela equation with deterministic diffusion term,* Finance and Stochastics (1999)

[30] H. Ye, J. Gao, Y. Ding, *A generalized Gronwall inequality and its application to a fractioanal differential equation,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 328, 1075–1081 (2007)

[31] M. Tehranchi, *A note on invariant measures for HJMM models,* Finance and Stochastics (2005)

[32] J. Zhu, Z. Brzeźniak E. Hauseblas *Maximal inequalities for stochastic convolutions driven by compensated paison random measure in banach spaces,* to appear in Ann. Inst. H. Poincarè Probab. Statist. (2015)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF YORK, HESLINGTON, YORK, YO105DD, UK
E-mail address: zdzislaw.brzezniak@york.ac.uk, ttk505@york.ac.uk